Technological Succession, Not Clandestine Continuation: An Analysis of Dr. Samuel C. Hsu's Role and the Strategic Pivot from Solid-Liner FRC to the Plasma Liner Experiment

Analyst's Note on Naming Conventions: The user query refers to "Dr. Samuel C. Hsu." The research corpus, including biographical information and publication authorship from Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), consistently refers to the relevant individual as "Dr. Scott C. Hsu" or "S. C. Hsu".[1] This report proceeds with the high-confidence assessment that these names refer to the same individual and will use "Dr. Scott C. Hsu" for accuracy, while acknowledging the query's initial phrasing.

Executive Summary

This report assesses the role of Dr. Scott C. Hsu following the death of Dr. Thomas Intrator and determines that his subsequent work on the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX) does not represent a direct continuation of the clandestine Field-Reversed Configuration/Magnetized Target Fusion (FRC/MTF) program. Instead, it marks a significant and deliberate strategic pivot to a new, more advanced fusion concept known as Plasma-Jet-Driven Magneto-Inertial Fusion (PJMIF).

The joint Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) collaboration on FRC/MTF successfully de-risked the core physics of high-density FRCs for solid-liner compression to a technology readiness level sufficient for transition to a clandestine defense-industrial partner.[1] The program's subsequent disappearance from public view, the dispersal of its core leadership, and the strong temporal correlation with Lockheed Martin's Compact Fusion Reactor (CFR) announcement are all positive indicators of a successful mission hand-off, not termination.[1] The government-funded research phase had achieved its objectives, maturing the technology to a point where its next stage of development was best suited for a classified industrial environment focused on system applications.

Dr. Hsu's designation as successor-advisor to Toru E. Weber, the lead scientist on the key plasma gun breakthrough that solved the FRC/MTF program's primary technical obstacle, placed him at the precise nexus of this technological transfer.[1] This succession was not a mere administrative formality; it was a deliberate handover of the program's most valuable intellectual property and human capital, documented explicitly in a peer-reviewed publication.

Dr. Hsu leveraged this inherited expertise not to continue the solid-liner approach, but to lead a new programmatic direction at LANL—the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX). In this new paradigm, plasma guns evolved from an auxiliary, enabling technology into the central driver mechanism for the entire fusion concept.[7] This represents a clear technological succession, building upon the critical lessons and innovations of the preceding program to pursue a more ambitious and ultimately more reactor-relevant architecture. The evidence points not to a covert continuation of an old program, but to a visible and strategic evolution toward a new one.

The LANL-AFRL Solid-Liner MTF Program (2001-2014): Foundation and Culmination

To accurately assess the nature of Dr. Hsu's work, it is first necessary to establish the technical and programmatic baseline of the research effort he inherited. The joint LANL-AFRL collaboration on Magnetized Target Fusion represented a coherent, multi-year, and mission-oriented effort to prove the viability of a specific fusion concept: the compression of a Field-Reversed Configuration (FRC) plasma target by an imploding solid metal liner. This program's trajectory, its ultimate technical challenges, and its intellectual leadership provide the essential context for understanding the subsequent strategic pivot.

A Step-Wise Maturation Pipeline: FRX-L, MSX, and FRCHX

The collaboration's research arc is defined by three distinct but inextricably linked experimental devices, representing a classic, well-managed national laboratory approach to maturing a high-risk, high-reward technology from a basic concept to an integrated system demonstration.[1]

The genesis of this effort was the **Field Reversed Experiment-Liner (FRX-L)** at LANL, operational from circa 2001 to 2006.[1] Under the leadership of Dr. Thomas Intrator, FRX-L served as the foundational plasma injector for the entire MTF program. Its primary objective was to produce and characterize a stable, high-density, and translatable FRC with parameters suitable for subsequent compression.[1] A seminal 2004

IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science paper, co-authored by Intrator, Hsu, and the core LANL-AFRL team, documented the experiment's success in establishing the formation physics for these unique plasmas. Using a high-voltage theta-pinch, the team created FRCs with densities exceeding 7×1016 cm–3 and total temperatures greater than 400 eV, achieving performance within a factor of 2 to 3 of the design goals for a viable MTF target.[1] This work provided the critical proof-of-concept for the plasma source, validating the fundamental approach and providing the direct design basis for the next phase.

The operational culmination of the collaboration was the **Field-Reversed Configuration Heating Experiment (FRCHX)**, a multi-year effort from circa 2007 to 2013.[1] The experiment was strategically located at AFRL's Shiva Star facility in New Mexico to leverage the unique capabilities of its powerful, multi-megajoule capacitor bank as the liner-driver.[1] The explicit technical objective of FRCHX was to conduct the first-ever integrated, end-to-end demonstration of the solid-liner MTF concept. This involved a precisely timed sequence: forming a high-density FRC based on the proven FRX-L design, translating it into a capture zone, and then compressively heating it with a magnetically-driven, imploding solid aluminum liner.[1] The first integrated test was successfully executed on April 16, 2010, representing a major engineering milestone but also revealing significant scientific challenges.[1]

Bridging these two efforts was the **Magnetized Shock Experiment (MSX)**, active circa 2013-2015.[1] MSX was the direct hardware and conceptual successor to FRX-L, explicitly constructed using "much of the equipment from the discontinued Field-Reversed Experiment with Liner (FRX-L) program".[1] This direct hardware inheritance demonstrates a clear pattern of resource and knowledge reuse within LANL's P-24 Plasma Physics group. While MSX had its own stated scientific objectives related to magnetized shocks, its essential programmatic role was to function as a flexible and cost-effective testbed for developing and de-risking novel technologies deemed critical for the success of the main-line FRCHX experiment.[1] The fact that MSX and FRCHX utilized "nearly identical conical

θ-pinch hardware" is dispositive evidence of this direct programmatic link, positioning MSX as the essential innovation hub for the broader MTF collaboration.[1]

The following timeline provides a chronological framework for these key programmatic and personnel events, highlighting the overlapping and sequential nature of the research efforts.

Date/Timeframe	Event / Milestone	Significance	Source(s)
c. 2001-2004	FRX-L operations begin at LANL; Intrator, Hsu et al. publish foundational paper.	Establishes the scientific baseline for high-density FRCs for MTF.	[1]
c. 2007	FRCHX design and assembly begins at AFRL.	Marks the formal start of the integrated solid-liner MTF demonstration.	[1]
Apr 16, 2010	First integrated FRCHX liner compression test.	Engineering success, but reveals critical plasma lifetime shortfall.	[1]
c. 2010-2011	Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX) facility is constructed at LANL.	Marks the beginning of the parallel/successor PJMIF program under Dr. Hsu.	[8]
Jul 2013	Wurden et al. report FRC lifetime extension on FRCHX.	Shows progress on the primary technical challenge, but still short of the goal.	[1]
June 3, 2014	Death of Dr. Thomas Intrator.	Loss of the FRC/MTF program's primary intellectual leader and mentor.	[1]
Oct 15, 2014	Lockheed Martin Skunk Works® announces its	Strong temporal correlation with the wind-down of	[1]

	Compact Fusion Reactor (CFR) program.	public FRCHX activity.	
Apr 29, 2015	Weber, Intrator, Smith paper on plasma-gun breakthrough is published.	Publicly documents the solution to the FRCHX lifetime problem and the Intrator-Weber-Hsu succession.	1
c. 2016	Dr. Hsu becomes lead PI for the ARPA-E sponsored PLX-α project.	Solidifies Hsu's leadership of the new PJMIF direction at LANL.	4

The Critical Technical Obstacle: Trapped-Flux Lifetime

The primary scientific and engineering challenge that came to define the latter stages of the FRCHX experiment was the FRC's trapped-flux lifetime.[1] The implosion of the solid aluminum liner, driven by the immense power of the Shiva Star facility, was a dynamic event that occurred over a timescale of approximately 20 microseconds (

μs).[1] For the MTF concept to work, the FRC target plasma had to remain stable, magnetized, and confined for this entire duration to be effectively compressed and heated.

However, experimental campaigns on FRCHX revealed a critical mismatch. Once the FRC was translated from its formation section into the liner's capture region, its measured lifetime was only 7 to 9 μs.[13] This significant shortfall became the program's "primary concern" and the central focus of its research.[1] An FRC that decayed in less than half the time it took the liner to fully implode could not be effectively heated. This lifetime issue was not a minor technical problem; it was a potential show-stopper for the entire solid-liner MTF concept as envisioned by the LANL-AFRL collaboration. Solving this problem became the most urgent priority and the target of the dedicated research conducted on the MSX testbed.

The Intellectual Leadership of Dr. Thomas Intrator

Throughout this multi-year, multi-institutional effort, Dr. Thomas P. Intrator (deceased June 3, 2014) was a central and driving figure. He is identified as a "key leader and mentor" within the LANL MTF program.[1] His involvement spanned the entire programmatic arc, establishing him not merely as a participant but as a core intellectual and programmatic driver.

His leadership is documented from the very beginning, serving as the lead author on the foundational 2004 FRX-L paper that established the performance baseline for high-density FRCs.[1] His role evolved beyond pure research to that of a strategic problem-solver, guiding the effort to overcome the program's most difficult challenges. Most notably, he co-led and mentored the research on the MSX experiment, which was specifically designed as a targeted intervention to solve the critical lifetime problem stalling the flagship FRCHX experiment.[1] His death in mid-2014 occurred near the conclusion of the program's public research phase, marking the loss of a significant intellectual driver for the team and symbolically closing an era of FRC research at LANL.[1] His legacy is the creation of the essential scientific proof-of-concept and human capital foundation upon which the next generation of research would be built.

The Breakthrough and the Handover: The Intrator-Weber-Hsu Nexus

The period between 2013 and 2015 marks the pivotal moment of technological and personnel transition within the LANL high-density plasma physics portfolio. It was during this time that the solution to the FRC/MTF program's primary obstacle was developed and validated, and a deliberate, documented handover of intellectual leadership occurred. This sequence of events placed Dr. Hsu in the precise position to inherit the most advanced and relevant technology to emerge from the entire 15-year research effort, setting the stage for the strategic pivot that would follow.

The MSX Experiment as a Targeted Intervention

The solution to the critical trapped-flux lifetime problem is detailed in a posthumous 2015 *Physics of Plasmas* paper authored by T. E. Weber, T. P. Intrator, and R. J. Smith.[1] This work was not a tangential academic exercise; it was a focused and mission-driven effort explicitly conducted "with the intention of subsequent fielding on the Field-Reversed Configuration Heating Experiment (FRCHX)".[1]

The central hypothesis was that traditional FRC formation methods were inefficient at the high magnetic fields required for MTF, as the strong axial field suppressed the necessary ionization of the gas fill.[1] The breakthrough solution developed on the MSX testbed was the use of an annular array of 12 coaxial plasma guns to inject a small amount of "seed plasma" into the formation region. This seed plasma was sufficient to catalyze a Townsend ionization cascade even in the presence of a strong axial magnetic field, effectively decoupling the ionization process from the main field application and allowing FRC formation to occur under optimal conditions.[1]

The performance improvement was not incremental; it was a fundamental breakthrough. The plasma gun-assisted technique resulted in a landmark ~350% increase in trapped magnetic flux at typical operating conditions.[1] This dramatic improvement stemmed from a change in the underlying physics of the formation process. By ionizing the gas near the wall at high magnetic field, the technique changed the character of outward flux flow during field-reversal from a rapid, Alfvenic "convective process" to a "much slower resistive diffusion process".[1] This insight explains the dramatic reduction in flux loss and the resulting improvement in FRC lifetime and temperature. The success of the MSX experiment, therefore, provided the primary proposed solution to the core problem that had stalled progress on the flagship integrated FRCHX experiment.

The Designated Succession: A Deliberate Handover

The 2015 MSX paper contains a critical and highly unusual acknowledgment from the lead author, Toru E. Weber, that serves as a formal documentation of succession:

> "T.W. wishes to acknowledge the generosity and kindness of Dr. Tom Intrator, a friend and mentor who passed away on June 3, 2014, and to thank S. C. Hsu for assuming his role as advisor".[1]

This statement is far more than a routine expression of gratitude. Within the highly structured and peer-reviewed context of a scientific journal, it is a deliberate and public declaration of a handover of leadership. It establishes a clear lineage: Dr. Intrator, the program's senior intellectual leader, was directly mentoring the key scientist (Weber) responsible for the program's most significant technical breakthrough. Upon Intrator's death, this critical mentorship role—and by extension, the stewardship of this key enabling technology and the human capital that developed it—was explicitly and formally transferred to Dr. Scott C. Hsu.

This transfer was logical, as Dr. Hsu was not an outsider to the program. He was a long-term and integral member of the team, having been a co-author with Dr. Intrator on the foundational 2004 FRX-L paper that initiated this entire line of research.[1] This designated succession ensured continuity and placed the program's most promising innovation directly under the advisory purview of a trusted and experienced senior scientist. At the conclusion of the FRC/MTF program's public phase, Dr. Hsu was in direct advisory control of the most successful and promising technology to emerge from it, positioning him to guide its future application.

The Toru E. Weber Intelligence Gap

Toru E. Weber is the lead author and central experimental figure of the single most important technological breakthrough in the latter phase of the FRC/MTF program.[1] His work on plasma-gun-assisted formation provided the definitive solution to the lifetime problem that had defined the program's primary challenge.

An analysis of his publication record, however, reveals a notable intelligence gap. His work is well-documented on the MSX experiment and related diagnostics through approximately 2016.[14] After this period, his public-facing trail in plasma physics research becomes sparse. Open-source searches for his subsequent professional activities do not provide a clear trace of the LANL plasma physicist, instead yielding results for individuals with similar names in unrelated fields such as nuclear physics, quantitative NMR, and ichthyology.[20]

The disposition of the key "hands-on" scientist responsible for a critical, dual-use technology breakthrough is a significant unknown.[1] While many career paths are possible, the abrupt reduction in public research output from such a pivotal figure following a major success suggests that his expertise may have transitioned to a non-public or classified program where research is not openly published. This gap obscures the flow of a critical stream of human capital from the FRC/MTF program.

The Strategic Pivot: Dr. Hsu and the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX)

Dr. Hsu's inheritance of the plasma gun technology and its associated expertise did not lead to a revitalized effort to field that solution on the solid-liner FRCHX experiment. Instead, it precipitated a fundamental and strategic pivot in the direction of magneto-inertial fusion research at Los Alamos. Under Dr. Hsu's leadership, LANL embarked on a new, more ambitious program—the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX)—which elevated the plasma gun from an auxiliary component to the central driver of the entire concept. A detailed technical comparison between FRCHX and PLX reveals two fundamentally different programs, arguing decisively against the hypothesis of direct continuation.

A New Paradigm: Plasma-Jet-Driven Magneto-Inertial Fusion (PJMIF)

Following the wind-down of the FRCHX program, the next major magneto-inertial fusion initiative at LANL is the Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX), with Dr. Scott C. Hsu identified as the lead Principal Investigator.[4] The PLX facility was constructed at LANL beginning in 2010-2011, indicating that this new direction was being pursued in parallel with the final phases of the FRCHX experiment.[8]

The core concept of PLX is Plasma-Jet-Driven Magneto-Inertial Fusion (PJMIF). This innovative approach represents a new paradigm that abandons the solid metal liner entirely.[23] Instead, PLX aims to form a spherically imploding

plasma liner by the precise merging of dozens (initially planned for 30, with current configurations using 36 to 60) of supersonic plasma jets.[8] These jets, typically of a high-atomic-number gas like argon, are launched from an array of plasma guns mounted on a large spherical vacuum chamber and timed to converge at the center.[7] This transient, imploding plasma shell then acts as a "plasma piston" to compress a magnetized fuel target (which could be an FRC, a spheromak, or another suitable configuration) to fusion conditions.[25]

The key strategic advantage of the PJMIF approach is the creation of a "standoff" driver. The expensive and complex hardware—the plasma guns—are located on the chamber wall, far from the intense energy release of the fusion event. This non-destructive, line-replaceable architecture is designed to enable the high-repetition-rate operation required for a practical fusion energy system, a significant advantage over the single-shot, destructive nature of the solid-liner approach used in FRCHX.[9]

From Enabling Technology to Core Concept: The Centrality of Plasma Guns

The strategic pivot to PLX represents a direct and logical evolution of the technology developed in the final phase of the FRC/MTF program. The technological through-line is the plasma gun.

In the MSX/FRCHX context, plasma guns were an *auxiliary system*. They were a clever "add-on," an enabling technology designed to solve a specific problem: improving the formation of the FRC target plasma.[1] Their success was measured by their effect on the FRC's trapped flux and lifetime.

In the PLX concept under Dr. Hsu's leadership, plasma guns are the *central driver technology*. The entire architecture is built around them. They are no longer a target formation aid; they are the machine that creates the imploding liner itself, providing the kinetic energy that drives the fusion compression.[9] This elevation of the plasma gun from an enabling technology to the core concept is the defining feature of the technological succession from Intrator's program to Hsu's. The demonstrated success of the plasma guns in precisely controlling initial plasma conditions on MSX likely provided the confidence and technical impetus for LANL leadership to envision and pursue a new, more ambitious fusion architecture based entirely on that technology.

Comparative Analysis: FRCHX vs. PLX

A direct, side-by-side comparison of the two programs' technical specifications reveals fundamentally different physics and engineering approaches, making the case for a strategic pivot over a direct continuation irrefutable.

Feature	FRCHX (Solid-Liner MTF)	PLX (Plasma-Liner MIF / PJMIF)	Source(s)
Programmatic Era	c. 2007-2013	c. 2010-Present	[8]
Lead PI (LANL)	Thomas P. Intrator / Glen A. Wurden	Scott C. Hsu	[4]
Primary Institutions	LANL, Air Force Research Lab	LANL, ARPA-E, HyperV	[4]

	(AFRL)	Technologies	
Compression Driver	Magnetically-driven solid aluminum cylinder	Spherically imploding liner formed from merging plasma jets (e.g., Argon)	[10]
Driver Facility	Shiva Star Capacitor Bank (~5 MJ)	Self-contained capacitor banks for each of 36+ plasma guns (~6 kJ per gun)	[1]
Target Plasma	High-Density Field-Reversed Configuration (FRC)	Magnetized target (FRC, spheromak, or other high-beta plasma)	[13]
Key Enabling Tech.	FRC Formation/Translation, Solid Liner Implosion	Supersonic Plasma Jets, Jet Merging Physics	[8]
Role of Plasma Guns	Auxiliary system for FRC formation assistance (on MSX)	Central driver technology for liner formation	[1]
Key Challenge	FRC trapped-flux lifetime (~20 μs) vs. liner implosion time	Liner uniformity, shock heating, jet synchronization	[13]
Ultimate Goal	Single-shot proof-of-concept compression	Develop a scalable, high-repetition-rate, standoff fusion driver	[9]

As the table illustrates, the programs differ across nearly every critical metric. The compression driver shifts from solid metal to plasma. The geometry shifts from cylindrical to spherical. The primary physics challenges shift from managing solid-liner instabilities to managing plasma-jet merging dynamics. The programmatic goal shifts from a single-shot proof-of-concept to a platform for a repeatable reactor concept. This is not the profile of a program being continued under a new name; it is the profile of a successor program with a new and distinct mission.

Final Assessment and Implications

The synthesis of programmatic history, personnel tracking, and technical analysis provides a coherent, multi-layered intelligence picture. The evidence strongly indicates that Dr. Scott C. Hsu's work on the Plasma Liner Experiment represents a technological succession and a strategic pivot away from the preceding solid-liner FRC/MTF program, rather than a direct, clandestine continuation of it.

Synthesis: Technological Succession, Not Clandestine Continuation

The user query sought to determine if Dr. Hsu's work was a direct continuation of the clandestine FRC/MTF program. This assessment concludes, with high confidence, that it is not. The two programs are fundamentally different in their core physics, engineering architecture, and ultimate objectives.

The disposition of the original FRC/MTF program, culminating in FRCHX, is best understood as a successful mission hand-off. A significant body of evidence points to the conclusion that the program was not terminated for lack of progress but was instead concluded because it had successfully de-risked the core plasma physics to a technology readiness level sufficient for transition.[1] The absence of a public-facing final technical report for a program of its scale and success, the definitive dispersal of its core LANL-AFRL leadership team, and the strong temporal correlation with the October 2014 public announcement of the Skunk Works® Compact Fusion Reactor are all strong indicators that the mission was transferred to a trusted prime defense contractor for applied development.[1]

Dr. Hsu's work on PLX represents the next chapter of magneto-inertial fusion research *within the national laboratory system*. The pivot to the PJMIF concept was a strategic decision to pursue a more advanced, reactor-relevant architecture. This new direction was directly enabled by the key technological breakthrough—plasma-gun-assisted formation—that was developed to solve the primary problem of the old architecture. Dr. Hsu's designated succession to Dr. Intrator as advisor to Toru Weber placed him at the exact point of this knowledge transfer, allowing him to guide the application of this new tool toward a new and more ambitious goal.

The Logic of R&D Evolution in a National Laboratory

This entire sequence of events is consistent with a well-managed and dynamic high-risk R&D portfolio within the U.S. national security laboratory ecosystem. The laboratories are tasked with exploring and de-risking high-reward concepts to a point where they can either be adopted by the defense industrial base for specific applications or be superseded by a more promising scientific or technological path.

In this case, both outcomes appear to have occurred in sequence. The solid-liner MTF concept (FRCHX) was matured to a point of transition and handed off, which is a measure of programmatic success. This transfer freed up institutional resources and top-tier human capital, such as Dr. Hsu, to pursue the next-generation plasma-liner concept (PLX). This new concept was not created in a vacuum; it was born directly from a key innovation made during the final phase of the prior program. This represents a healthy, dynamic, and forward-looking research strategy: learn from the challenges of one approach, leverage its key innovations, and apply them to create a superior successor concept.

Recommendations for Further Analysis

To maintain a comprehensive intelligence picture of this evolving technology landscape, the following actions are recommended:

1. **Monitor PLX Progress:** The Plasma Liner Experiment represents the current public-facing vanguard of this branch of magneto-inertial fusion research at LANL. Its progress, diagnostic results, publications, and funding sources (such as ARPA-E) should be closely monitored to track the maturation of the PJMIF concept toward a potential integrated target compression experiment.[12]
2. **Track Key Human Capital:** The disposition of key personnel remains a critical intelligence vector. A focused effort to resolve the intelligence gap regarding the post-2016 professional activities of Toru E. Weber could yield significant insights into where the hands-on expertise for plasma gun technology was applied after the conclusion of the MSX experiments. Likewise, tracking the careers of Dr. Hsu's key collaborators on PLX (e.g., Samuel Langendorf, Kevin Yates [29]) will be important for understanding the future of this research area.

3. **Analyze the Broader MIF Portfolio:** The LANL-led work should continue to be analyzed in the context of the broader U.S. national strategy for magneto-inertial fusion. This includes parallel efforts such as the Magnetized Liner Inertial Fusion (MagLIF) program at Sandia National Laboratories[1], as well as the activities of emerging private fusion companies. This portfolio-level analysis is necessary to understand how different concepts are being pursued to mitigate technical risk across the national R&D enterprise.

Works cited

1. FRCHX Program Disposition Analysis.pdf
2. Scott Hsu - Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.pppl.gov/speakers/scott-hsu
3. Scott HSU | Fusion Partner | Ph.D., Astrophysical Sciences (Program in Plasma Physics), Princeton University | Research profile - ResearchGate, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Scott-Hsu
4. Biography for Scott C. Hsu Dr. Scott C. Hsu is a fusion research scientist in the Physics Division–Plasma Physics Group at Los, accessed August 24, 2025, https://docs.house.gov/meetings/SY/SY20/20160420/104815/HHRG-114-SY20-Bio-HsuS-20160420.pdf
5. Biography of Dr. Scott C. Hsu | Congress.gov, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.congress.gov/118/meeting/house/116033/witnesses/HHRG-118-SY20-Bio-HsuS-20230613.pdf
6. Dr. Scott C. Hsu, Los Alamos, NM – Fusion 4 Freedom, accessed August 24, 2025, https://science.fusion4freedom.com/dr-scott-c-hsu-los-alamos-nm/
7. The Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX) at Los Alamos National Laboratory... - ResearchGate, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-Plasma-Liner-Experiment-PLX-at-Los-Alamos-National-Laboratory-with-seven_fig2_339164690
8. (PDF) Experiment to Form and Characterize a Section of a ..., accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320180156_Experiment_to_Form_and_Characterize_a_Section_of_a_Spherically_Imploding_Plasma_Liner
9. Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX) | Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.lanl.gov/engage/collaboration/feynman-center/tech-and-capability-search/plx
10. FRC Compression Heating Experiment (FRCHX) at AFRL - DTIC, accessed August 24, 2025, https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/tr/pdf/ADA610349.pdf
11. Laboratory plasma physics experiments using merging supersonic plasma jets, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/view/pdfCoverPage?instCode=01LANL_INST&filePid=13157981100003761&download=true
12. Output - Scott C Hsu - Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/profile/scott_hsu/output/publication.conferenceProce

eding?institution=01LANL_INST
13. FRC lifetime studies for the Field Reversed Configuration Heating Experiment (FRCHX) | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224002748_FRC_lifetime_studies_for_the_Field_Reversed_Configuration_Heating_Experiment_FRCHX
14. T. Intrator's research while affiliated with Los Alamos National Laboratory and other places, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/scientific-contributions/T-Intrator-9025743
15. T. E. Weber's research works | Los Alamos National Laboratory and, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/scientific-contributions/T-E-Weber-2036332688
16. Thomas Weber - Los Alamos National Laboratory - Overview, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/profile/thomas_weber
17. Thomas Weber - Google Scholar, accessed August 24, 2025, https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=CT6ErNwAAAAJ&hl=en
18. Output - Thomas Weber - Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/profile/thomas_weber/output/all?institution=01LANL_INST
19. A New Vision for Fusion Energy Research: Fusion Rocket Engines for Planetary Defense (vol 35, pg 123, 2016) - Los Alamos National Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/outputs/journalArticle/A-New-Vision-for-Fusion-Energy/9916369606603761?institution=01LANL_INST
20. Toru WEBER | Maastricht University, Maastricht | UM | University College Maastricht | Research profile - ResearchGate, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Toru-Weber
21. Collaborative Study to Validate Purity Determination by ^{1}H Quantitative NMR Spectroscopy by Using Internal Calibration Methodology - J-Stage, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/cpb/68/9/68_c20-00336/_article/-char/ja/
22. (PDF) Short Communication: Fish diversity of the Batang Toru River System, South Tapanuli, North Sumatra - ResearchGate, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/305891572_Short_Communication_Fish_diversity_of_the_Batang_Toru_River_System_South_Tapanuli_North_Sumatra
23. Computing and Data Sciences | Spherically Imploding Plasma Liners as a Standoff Magneto-Inertial-Fusion Driver (PLX-Alpha Collaboration) - Brookhaven National Laboratory, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.bnl.gov/compsci/projects/plasma-liners.php
24. Formation and Study of a Spherical Plasma Liner for Plasma-Jet-Driven Magneto-Inertial Fusion - arXiv, accessed August 24, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2401.11066v1
25. [2508.09895v1] Exploring the Physics of the Plasma Liner Experiment: A Multi-dimensional Study with FLASH, OSIRIS, and HELIOS - arXiv, accessed August 24, 2025, https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.09895v1/

26. Magnetized Plasma Target for Plasma-Jet-Driven Magneto-Inertial Fusion - OSTI.GOV, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/1459813
27. Formation of a spherical plasma liner for plasma-jet-driven magneto-inertial fusion - AIP Publishing, accessed August 24, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article/31/10/102701/3315541/Formation-of-a-spherical-plasma-liner-for-plasma
28. Simulation study of the influence of experimental variations on the ..., accessed August 24, 2025, https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pop/article/26/3/032704/699537/Simulation-study-of-the-influence-of-experimental
29. Simulation Study of the Influence of Experimental Variations on the Structure and Quality of Plasma Liners - OSTI, accessed August 24, 2025, https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1492767
30. Samuel Langendorf - Los Alamos National Laboratory - Output - LARO, accessed August 24, 2025, https://laro.lanl.gov/esploro/profile/samuel_langendorf/output/publication.conferenceProceeding?institution=01LANL_INST